

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/038,916 01/08/2002		Ming Jia	71493-1042/pw	1307		
7380 7	590 06/10/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SMART & BIGGAR/FETHERSTONHAUGH & CO.			GHULAMALI, QUTBUDDIN			
	9, STATION D		ART UNIT	DADED MERADED		
	ALFE STREET			PAPER NUMBER		
OTTAWA, ON K1P5Y6 CANADA			2637			
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2009	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		%					
		Application	on No.	Арр	licant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/038,9	16	JIA E	JIA ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art U	Jnit			
		Qutub Gh		2637				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet v	with the corres _i	pondence ac	ldress		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO msions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steeply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no ev reply within the stat riod will apply and w atute, cause the app	ent, however, may a utory minimum of th ill expire SIX (6) MC lication to become a	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be DNTHS from the mail ABANDONED (35 U	considered timeling date of this c			
Status						•		
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Od</u>	8 January 200	<u>2</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Qu	<i>ayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.0	G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) <u>18-32 and 34-38</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-17,33 and 39-41</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from co				·		
Applicati	on Papers							
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) t	e held in abeya	ance. See 37 C	FR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the	,		• • •		• •		
	·	EXAMINET, 140	ote the attach	ed Office Actio	11 01 1011111	10-102.		
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have bee ents have bee priority docume reau (PCT Rul	n received. In received in ents have bee e 17.2(a)).	Application No)	Stage		
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-				
3) 🛛 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/3/03,8/26/03</u> .			o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent <i>F</i> 		O-152)		
S Patent and T	rademark Office	 						

Art Unit: 2637

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 1, 11 are objected to because of the following informalities: In Claim 1 and 11, line 22, the "the de-mapper", be replaced by --the symbol de-mapper--, to establish a proper antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claim 28 is objected to because of the following informalities: In Claim 28, line 2, the "at at", be replaced by --on at--, to show proper clarification to subject matter as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 4, 11, 14, 17 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ten Brink (US patent 6,611,513) in view of Stein (USP 6,175,590).

Regarding claims 1, 4, 11 and 14, Brink discloses a transmitter and a receiver adapted to transmit and receive comprising:

a symbol de-mapper (fig. 3, element 24), receiving as input a sequence of received symbols over the channel whose quality is to be measured, said symbol de-mapper being adapted to perform symbol de-mapping on said sequence of received symbols to produce a sequence of soft data

Art Unit: 2637

element decisions (see abstract, col. 1, lines 63-67; col. 2, lines 1-3; col. 4, lines 60-67; col. 5, lines 1020);

a soft decoder, receiving as input the sequence of soft data element decisions produced by the symbol de-mapper, said soft decoder being adapted to decode the sequence of soft data element decisions to produce a decoded output sequence (col. 5, lines 22-38); an encoder(11), receiving as input the decoded output sequence produced by the soft decoder, said encoder being adapted to re-encode the decoded output sequence with an identical code to a code used in encoding the source data element sequence to produce a re-encoded output sequence (col. 4, lines 60-67). Brink though discloses outputs of finger correlators are combined in a maximum ratio combiner, however, does nor explicitly disclose a correlator receiving as input the sequence of soft data elements to produce a channel quality indicator output by determining a correlation between the sequence of soft data element decisions and the reencoded output sequence. Stein in a similar field of endeavor discloses a (see abstract) a correlator, receiving as input the sequence of soft data element decisions produced by the symbol de-mapper, and the re-encoded output sequence produced by the encoder, said correlator being adapted to produce a channel quality indicator output by determining a correlation between the sequence of soft data element decisions and the re-encoded output sequence (col. 3, lines 1-16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a correlator to produce a channel quality indicator output by determining a correlation between the sequence of soft data element decisions and the re-encoded output

Art Unit: 2637

sequence as taught by Stein in the system of Brink because it can enhance the quality of the normalized correlation metrics such as the symbol error rate.

As per claim 17, Brink discloses a method of determining a channel quality comprising correlating a soft data element decision sequence with a second data element sequence, the second data element sequence being produced by decoding the soft data element decision sequence to produce a decoded sequence and then re-encoding the decoded Sequence (col. 1, lines 54-67).

5. Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 12, 13, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ten Brink (USP 6,611,513) in view of Stein (USP 6,175,590) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Jones et al (USP 6,215,813).

Regarding claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 12, 13, 15 and 16, Brink and Stein combined discloses every feature of the claimed invention. The combination however, is silent regarding symbol demapper is adapted to perform QPSK symbol de-mapping and Euclidean distance. Jones in a similar field of endeavor discloses a symbol de-mapper is adapted to perform QPSK symbol demapping and least squared Euclidean distance to the transmission symbol from the received symbol. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use QPSK symbol de-mapping and least squared Euclidean distance as taught by Jones in the system of Brink and Stein because it can enhance performance in bandwidth and system efficiency with relatively high processing gain.

6. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ten Brink (USP 6,611,513) in view of Stein (USP 6,175,590), and further in view of Isaksson et al (USP 6,865,232).

Regarding claim 33, Brink and Stein combined discloses every feature of the claimed invention. The combination however, is silent regarding a computer readable storage medium to store instructions for implementing the method. Isaksson in a similar field of endeavor discloses (fig. 4) the system can be connected to a computer interface to store various functional units and instruction for implementing the method. It would therefore, be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a computer readable medium to store the various instructions to the method claimed as taught by Isaksson in the combined system of Brink and Stein because it can provide useful information for recalling and carrying out the instructions on a computational basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 7-10, 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Thomas et al (US Pub. 2002/0051498).

Art Unit: 2637

Regarding claim 7, Thomas teaches a method of measuring OFDM reliability/quality of an OFDM channel comprising:

receiving a sequence of OFDM symbols over the OFDM channel whose quality is to be measured (abstract; col. 4, section 0069; col. 6, section 0090);

symbol de-mapping said sequence of received symbols to produce a sequence of soft data element decisions (col. 9, section 0137);

decoding said sequence of soft data element decisions to produce a decoded output sequence pertaining to the source data element sequence (abstract; col. 1, section 0007; cols. 3-4, section 0062)

re-encoding said decoded output sequence to produce a re-encoded output sequence using a code identical to a code used in encoding the source data element sequence (col. 9, section 0137; col. 10, section 0141); and

correlating said re-encoded output sequence, and said sequence of soft data element decisions to produce a channel quality indicator output (abstract; col. 3, section 0060).

Regarding claim 8, Thomas discloses the symbol de-mapping is QPSK symbol demapping (col. 6, section 0090).

As per claim 9, Thomas discloses said sequence of received symbols comprises Euclidean distance conditional LLR de-mapping (col. 4, section 0062).

With reference to claim 10, Thomas discloses decoding of sequence of soft data element decisions to produce output sequence further comprises using a history of the soft data element

Application/Control Number: 10/038,916 Page 7

Art Unit: 2637

decisions, and using information about encoding of the sequence of symbols transmitted over the channel (col. 6, section 0090).

Regarding claim 39, Thomas discloses a transmitter adapted to combine pilot and transmission parameter on a single overhead channel within an OFDM signal (col. 6, section 0091).

Regarding claim 40, Thomas discloses a transmitter wherein a set of transmission parameter signaling symbols are transmitted on the overhead channel with strong encoding such that at a receiver, they can be decoded accurately, re-encoded, and the re-encoded symbols treated as known pilot symbols which can then be used for channel estimation (col. 6, section 0091).

Regarding claim 41, Thomas discloses a receiver adapted to produce decode a received signal containing the encoded transmission parameter signaling symbols as modified by a channel, re-encode the decoded symbols to produce known pilot (col. 6, sections 0090, 0091, 0092).

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 18-32, 34-38 allowed.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2637

US Patents:

Balachandran et al (USP 6,215,827) shows a system and method for measuring channel quality

information in a communication system.

Isaksson et al (USP 6,538,986) discloses a data transmission system using NQAM constellation.

Kaiser et al (USP 6,188,717) shows a method of simultaneously transmit digital data between

stations.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Qutub Ghulamali whose telephone number is (571) 272-3014.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

QG.

June 3, 2005.

JAY K. PATEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

1_k. Mu

Page 8